

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,593	06/30/2003	Marie-Laure Lesaicherre	6565-66285/RJP	5201
7590 04/10/2006			EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL			YANG, NELSON C	
LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP				
One World Trade Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600			1641	
Portland, OR	97204			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/611,593	LESAICHERRE E	LESAICHERRE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nelson Yang	1641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI( 36(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company	, .	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	une 2003.			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matt	• •	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims			·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or each	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	l Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152) ·	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,	- : <del></del> /	

Art Unit: 1641

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of preparing a protein array, classified in class
     427, subclass 4.
  - II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a protein array, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the protein array does not require a fusion protein to be made, whereas the method is requires a fusion protein.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/611,593

Art Unit: 1641

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,593

Art Unit: 1641

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nelson Yang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

> LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/31/06

Page 4